June 4, 2020

The Honorable Mitch McConnell  
Majority Leader  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi  
Speaker  
United States House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Charles Schumer  
Minority Leader  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Kevin McCarthy  
Minority Leader  
United States House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Leader McConnell, Speaker Pelosi, Leader Schumer, and Leader McCarthy:

States, school districts, principals and teachers are committed to serving all students who need supports in our schools no matter which school they attend, including through equitable participation of students in non-public schools in accordance with the current Title I law. Unfortunately, recent actions by the U.S. Department of Education (USED or Department) regarding equitable services for non-public schools under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act have undermined this principle and created enormous confusion and caused unnecessary delays in getting emergency education funds to schools. In passing the CARES Act, Congress sought to quickly provide federal support to those sectors most affected by the coronavirus pandemic. With at least 124,000 K-12 schools closed across the country, affecting more than 55 million students, America’s schools are in a precarious position and in desperate need of federal support to prevent educational hardships of historic proportions that would affect the country for decades to come. We urge Congress to swiftly reinforce its intent pertaining to Section 18005(a) of the CARES Act by passing legislation rescinding the equitable services guidance, preempting any future notice from USED that is contrary to the legislation, and further clarifying the allocation requirements for equitable services for non-public schools consistent with Title I.

USED released guidance on April 30, 2020, that misinterprets how equitable services funding for non-public schools under the CARES Act should be apportioned. The CARES Act includes approximately $13.23 billion for an Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief (ESSER) Fund, which is to be allocated to state and local education agencies (SEAs and LEAs) proportionate to their share of Title I, Part A funding in the prior fiscal year. Section 18005(a) of the CARES Act definitively states that an LEA receiving funds under ESSER, as well as under the Governor’s Emergency Education Relief (GEER) Fund, must provide equitable services to students and teachers in non-public schools “in the same manner as provided under section 1117 of the ESEA of 1965.” Section 1117(a)(4)(A) of ESEA is similarly explicit: “Expenditures for educational services and other benefits to eligible private school children shall be equal to the proportion of funds allocated to participating school attendance areas based on the number of children from low-income families who attend private schools.”

Yet the Department’s April 30 non-regulatory guidance disregards Congress’ clear mandate in Section 1117 that the number of low-income students attending non-public schools in the LEA
should serve as the basis for how equitable services are allocated. Instead, the guidance introduces the idea that the apportionment should be based on the total number of students enrolled in non-public schools in the LEA. This not only misinterprets the CARES Act statute, it contradicts well-established interpretations by USED, including as recently as October 2019, that equitable services allocations should be based on the number of low-income students in non-public schools from a particular LEA under section 1117(a)(4)(A)(i) and (c)(1).

Over many decades, USED’s rationale for its interpretation of the equitable services allocation for students and teachers in non-public schools has been straightforward: because an LEA’s Title I allotment is based on the total number of low-income students, whether attending public or non-public schools, the equitable services allocation should also be based on the number of low-income students attending non-public schools from the particular LEA. In effect, the share of low-income students attending non-public schools is used to generate an LEA’s overall Title I allocation; accordingly, that same metric should be used to calculate equitable services.

To be clear, we strongly supported the CARES Act with equitable services provisions included and believe that eligible students in non-public schools should receive additional support through the CARES Act. What we don’t believe is that all students, at any non-public school, regardless of their family’s wealth or the size of their school’s endowment, should generate funding help in the same way as disadvantaged students, particularly when that aid comes at the cost of those less advantaged children.

The current crisis has caused enormous disruptions in learning for our students, heightening the urgency to reach and support them at this critical time. We remain concerned that absent congressional action clarifying congressional intent around equitable services, the Department’s guidance, coupled with its stated intention to promulgate a rule on the issue, will continue to undermine the efforts of state and local education leaders and further delay the disbursement of these critical relief funds. Education leaders are working tirelessly to ensure that the educational and mental health needs of students are being met during this crisis, but federal support is still urgently needed. We look forward to working with you to provide a quick solution that ensures CARES Act funds are disbursed in the way that Congress intended.
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